

Energy functions of fast radio bursts derived from the first CHIME/FRB catalogue

Tetsuya Hashimoto, Tomotsugu Goto, Bo Han Chen, Simon C.-C. Ho, Tiger Y.-Y. Hsiao, Yi Hang Valerie Wong, Alvina Y. L. On, Seong Jin Kim, Ece Kilerci-Eser, Kai-Chun Huang, Daryl Joe D. Santos, and Shotaro Yamasaki (MN, June 2022)

Speaker: kongjun Zhang Date: 2024.11.18

Introduction

•what is the FRBs?

millisecond-duration, radio waves, randomly occur in the sky

•FRB classification

Two types of FRBs:Non-repeating FRBs Repeating FRBs

•FRBs origins are unknown

White dwarf;Old neutron star ;black hole (BH);Magnetar;Young pulsar and so on.

Introduction

•Origin: How can we identify the progenitor?

>Localization

- localized FRB 200428 at a Galactic magnetar Bochenek et 1.2020
- FRB 20200120E --> globular cluster (old stellar system). Tendulkar et al. 2021
- FRB 20180916B --> star-forming region. Kirsten et al. 2021 **Problem: no more 'direct' confirmation of the FRB progenitor**

Introduction

•Origin: How can we identify the progenitor?

➢ focus on the history of FRBs

- ➢Old objects ∝ stellar mass: White dwarf, Old neutron star, Old stellar-mass, black hole (BH).
- ➢Young objects ∝ star formation: Magnetar, Young pulsar, Super massive BH, Supernova remnant.
- →The number density of FRB sources vs the density of possible ancestors

DATA ANALYSIS

- •Sample: 176 FRB(164 nonrepeating FRBs and 12 repeating FRBs) from CHIME catalogue. The CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. (2021)
- \succ The selected sample satisfies all of the following criteria.
 - >bonsai_snr > 10
 - $> DM_{obs} > 1.5 \times max(DM_{NE2001}, DN_{YMW16})$
 - ≻not detected in far side-lobes
 - $\geq \log \tau_{\rm scat} < 0.8 ({\rm ms})$
 - \geq excluded_flag = 0
 - ≻the first detected burst if the FRB source is a repeater
 - $>\log F_v > 0.5 (Jy ms)$

DATA ANALYSIS

Selection functions

$$P(\varphi) = Pobs(\varphi) \times s(\varphi)^{-1}$$
 $\varphi = DM, \tau_{scat}, w_{int}, F_v$

Where $P(\varphi)$ and $P_{obs}(\varphi)$ are the intrinsic and observed distributions, respectively. $S(\varphi)$ is the selection function as a function. For details, see section 2.1.

$$W_{scale} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(DM) w_i(\tau_{scat}) w_i(w_{int}) w_i(F_{\nu}) = \frac{84,697}{39,638} \times 176$$

DATA ANALYSIS

•Number density of each FRB source

 $ho_{
m uncorr} \; (E_{
m rest,400}) = rac{1+z_{
m FRB}}{V_{
m max,4\pi}\Omega_{
m sky}t_{
m obs}}$

 $ho_{ ext{corr}} \; (E_{ ext{rest},400}) =
ho_{ ext{uncorr}} \, W_{ ext{scale}} \, w(ext{DM}) w \, (au_{ ext{scat}} \,) w \, (w_{ ext{int}} \,) w \, (F_\mathcal{V})$

energy functions

$$\phi\left(z_{ ext{median}}\,,E_{ ext{rest}}\,,_{400,j}
ight) = \sum
ho_{ ext{corr}}\,\left(E_{ ext{rest}}\,,_{400,j,k}
ight)/\Delta\log E_{ ext{rest}}\,,_{400}$$



Result:



• The redshift derived from the dispersion measurement is consistent with the spectral redshift

Result: energy function



 $\phi(\log E) \mathrm{d} \log E = \phi^* \left(rac{E}{E^*}
ight)^{lpha+1} \exp{\left(-rac{E}{E^*}
ight)} \mathrm{d} \log E$

- lowest-z bin for fitting, due to the lack of data points at lower energies.
- Non-repeatingFRBs show clear decreasing trends at z≤1
- Repeating FRBs:The number of data points is too small.

Result: The volumetric rate



- Before z<2, the volume rate of non-repeating FRBs decreases with increasing redshift.
- The observed decline aligns with the stellar mass density evolution but not with the stellar formation rate trends.
- Old stellar populations are the origin of most non-repeating FRBs

Summary

• The energy function and volume fraction of non-repeating FRBs show a downward trend, with higher and higher redshift values.

missed by CHIME

The "old-age population" is the origin of most non-repetitive FRBs
Cautions

- high scattering
- faint bursts

• sample contamination of repeating FRB sources

Thanks for your listening!

Please feel free to contact us:

kongjun.zhang@stu.ynu.edu.cn